SINGLE BENCH

(1)

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO 1265 OF 2005

1. RAM KUMAR YADAV : **PETITIONERS**
S/o. Sant Ram Yadav,
Age About 42 Years, R/o
Village Tilda Bandha, P.O.
Newra Via Suhela, Tehsil
Simga, Distt: Raipur (C.G.)

2. RAMDHEEN SAHU,
S/o. Deendayal Sahu, Age
About 42 Years, P.O. Jara,
P.S. Palari, Distt: Raipur (C.G.)

3. SIYARAM, S/o Deendayal Dhruv,
Age about 43 Years, R/o Village
Khamaria, Distt: Raipur (C.G.)

4. Smt. DEV KUNWAR VERMA,
W/o. Bisheshwer Verma, Age
About 42 Years, R/o Gram Tun-
-duwa, Tahsil Tilda, distt: Raipur (C.G.)

5. RAMLAKHAN SINHA, S/o. M. R.
Sinha, Age About 35 Years, R/o
Village Gatapara, P.S. Palari, Distt:
Raipur (C.G.)

Presented by Shri ... dated 22-03-05

VERSUS

1) STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, : **RESPONDENTS**
Through the Secretary, Department
of Education, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur.

2) THE DIRECTOR, Lok Shikshan,
Sanchanalay, D. K. S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.).

3) THE COLLECTOR, Raipur (C.G.).

4) THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER,
Education Distt: Raipur (C.G.)

5) THE UNION OF INDIA, Ministry of Home
Affairs (Reorganization Cell), Khan Market, New Delhi.

6) THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH,
Through: The Secretary, Department
Of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

RECEIVED-COPY
22/3/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(3)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

42

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1265/ **सन्** 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

5-4-2005

Mr. Yogesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Raghavendra Pradhan, Additional Central Govt. Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondent No.5.

Heard.

The petitioners' case is that petitioners No.1 to 4 were appointed as Lower Division Teachers on ad-hoc basis for 89 days on 28-12-1982, 19-2-1983 and 24-3-1983, and petitioner No.5 was appointed for 89 days on 21-1-1988. From the perusal of the petition it appears that on expiry of 89 days the petitioners' services were not continued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in spite of the representation made by the petitioners they are not being considered for the post of Teacher, whereas, there is a Government policy that if post is vacant then, such persons are to be given preferential treatment for appointment. For that purpose, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2, and respondent No.2 in turn, after considering the grievances of the petitioners, shall decide the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A.No.2450/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

7.4.05
8.4.05